I 2

3

5

7

8

10 11

12

14

16

15

18

19

17

20 21

23

22

24

### **REMARKS**

Claims 1, 7, 13, 18, 22, 26-31 are amended. Claims 32-34 are canceled. Claims 1-31 are pending. In view of the following remarks, Applicant respectfully requests reconsideration and allowance of the subject application.

# **Examiner Discussion**

Applicant wishes to thank the Examiner for the time spent on the phone on September 14, 2005, discussing this application.

## Claim Objections

Claim 33 is objected to under 37 CFR 1.75(c). Applicant has canceled this claim without prejudice.

# The § 101 Rejections

Claims 26-31 stands rejected under 35 U.S.C. §101 as being drawn to non-statutory subject matter. The Office states that the claims are directed to nonfunctional descriptive material. Applicant has amended these claims to incorporate subject matter that should remove this rejection. If the Office is of the opinion that the claim remains non-compliant, the Examiner is urged to contact the undersigned to discuss the matter.

Claim 32 stands rejected under 35 U.S.C. §101 as being drawn to non-statutory subject matter. This claim has been canceled without prejudice thus obviating the rejection.

### The § 112 Rejections

3 4 5

1

7

б

10

9

13

12

14

15

16

17 18

20

19

21 22

24

25

23

Claims 1-17, 22-31, and 33 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for "failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which Applicant regards as the invention." In making out this rejection, the Office argues that the phrase ". . . content that is designed to constitute . . ." renders the claims indefinite because it makes it unclear as to whether the content must actually be one of the enumerated types of attack patterns.

While Applicant continues to disagree with the Office on this rejection, Applicant has, in the interest of advancing prosecution, amended the subject claims as suggested by the Examiner. Applicant believes that the claims are now in a state that should be acceptable to the Examiner. If the Examiner is of a different opinion, the Examiner is urged to contact the undersigned to discuss the matter further.

### The § 102 Rejections

Claims 32 and 34 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 102(e) as being anticipated by U.S. Patent No. 5,884,033 to Duvall et al (hereinafter, "Duvall"). These claims have been canceled without prejudice, thereby obviating the rejection.

#### The § 103 Rejections

Claims 1-11, 13-30, and 33 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over Duvall in view of U.S. Patent No. 6,421,781 to Fox et al (hereinafter, "Fox").

 Claims 12 and 31 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over Duvall in view of Fox and Oliver et al., "Building a Windows NT 4 Internet Server", 1996, p. 203.

In making out the rejection of these claims, the Office notes, on page 8, paragraph 10 of the Office Action that "[i]t is noted that no art has thus far been found that, in combination with Duvall, would suggest the detection of integrity or disclosure attacks.

While Applicant disagrees with the rejections of these claims, independent claims 1, 7, 13, 18, 22 and 26 have nonetheless been amended, as indicated above, to remove subject matter so that the claims include only subject matter that the Examiner has indicated as not being suggested by the art of record. Applicant has made this amendment for the sole purpose of advancing prosecution in this matter and fully reserves its right to file one or more continuation applications to pursue subject matter that it believes it is due in view of the cited art.

### Conclusion

Applicant respectfully submits that all of the claims are in condition for allowance and Applicant respectfully requests a Notice of Allowability be issued forthwith. If the next anticipated action is to be anything other than issuance of a Notice of Allowability, Applicant respectfully requests a telephone call for the purpose of scheduling an interview.

Dated:

9/22/05

Respectfully Submitted,

Lance R. Sadler Reg. No. 38,605 (509) 324-9256, ext. 226